1	2				
7.	Haryana	1.36	0.56	0.14	0.75
8.	Himachal Pradesh	1.25	1.09	0.70	0.68
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	1 19	1.43	0.71	0.68
10.	Karnataka	1.74	2.21	2.94	2.47
11.	Kerala	1.54	2.03	2.31	1.87
12.	Madhya Pradesh	3.00	2.79	2.49	2.15
13.	Maharashtra	10.26	11.92	10.69	7.92
14.	Manipur	0.08	0.14	0.32	0.18
15.	Meghalaya	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.17
16.	Mizoram	0.07	0.13	0.14	0.08
17.	Nagaland	0.23	0.53	0.73	0.40
18.	Orissa	2.00	2.43	2.58	1.66
19.	Punjab	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.36
20.	Rajasthan	9.31	6.19	5.71	5.09
21.	Sikkim	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03
22.	Tamil Nadu	1.37	2.32	2.04	1.11
23.	Tripura	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.08
24.	Uttar Pradesh	5.21	4.97	2.67	4.32
25.	West Bengal	9.65	9.03	8.98	5.43
26.	A&N Islands	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.01
27.	Chandigarh	0.16	0.20	0.17	0.15
28.	D&N Haveli	0.01	0.01	Neg.	Neg.
29.	Daman & Diu	0.01	Neg.	0.01	Neg.
30.	Delhi	5.26	6.23	5.67	4.72
31.	Lakshadweep	..	0.03	Neg.	Neg.
32.	Pondicherry	Neg.	0.01	0.02	Neg.

Neg. — Below 500 tonnes.

आयकर विभाग द्वारा दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण की धन राशि का जब्त किया जाना

1700. **श्री दयानन्द सहाय :** क्या शहरी विकास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार को इस तथ्य की जानकारी है कि आयकर विभाग ने दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण की बहुत अधिक धनराशि को जब्त कर लिया है, जैसा कि 29 मई 1991 "द हिन्दुस्तान टाइम्स" में समाचार छपा है यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ख) यदि नहीं, तो इस मामले के बारे में वास्तविक तथ्य क्या हैं ; और

(ग) यदि उपर्युक्त भाग (क) का उत्तर "हां" हो, तो सरकार द्वारा दोषी व्यक्तियों की पहचान करने के पश्चात् की गयी कार्यवाही का ब्यौरा क्या है ?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम० अरुणाचलम) :(क) जी, हां।

(ख) और (ग) स्ववित पोषित योजना के अन्तर्गत निर्माण में देरी के लिए आवंटियों को वर्ष 1987-88 से 1989-90 के लिए दी जा रही तथाकथित ब्याज की राशि पर स्रोत पर कर की कटौती के बारे में आयकर विभाग द्वारा 3.95 करोड़ रूपये की राशि जब्त की गई है।

दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण ने आयकर विभाग की इस कार्यवाही के खिलाफ आयकर न्यायाधिकरण में अपील की है। दोषी अधिकारियों का पता लगाने के लिए एक जांच कराई गई थी और जांच रिपोर्ट पर कार्यवाही प्रारंभ कर दी गई है।

Refusal of Govt. accommodation to allottees on account of non payment of overstay dues

1701. SHRI RAM NARESH YADAV: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Type-I Quarter Nos. E-333 and C-91 Kidwai Nagar and K-196, Clive Square were allotted and given occupation without issuing demand letter of the charges for overstay; if so, the reasons therefor and what was the amount of overstaying charges involved in each case and the number of instalments permitted in each case;

(b) what is the number of Type-I Quarters allotted on the 27th February, 1991 but retention orders were not issued to the allottees so far, if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is also a fact that Type-I Quarter No. K-196, Clive Square was allotted on 22nd June, 1989 but the allotment papers of the said quarter were seized by the Estate Office and the occupation slip was also not given on the ground that the overstay charges were not deposited in lump sum on the same day i.e. on 27th June, 1989; and

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor and why did the Government not permitted the allottee to deposit the huge amount of Rs. 23,000/- in instalments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) (i) In respect of Qr. No. E-333, Kidwai Nagar, the quarter was regularised in the name of the dependent after realising the Government dues amounting to Rs. 707/- for the period of overstay.

(ii) Qr. No. C-91, Kidwai Nagar was in the Rajya Sabha Sectt. Pool. This quarter was taken on transfer to the General Pool for regularisation in the name of the dependent of the allottee who was entitled for a General Pool Accommodation and a similar quarter was placed in the Rajya Sabha Pool subsequently. Since the Quarter was in Rajya Sabha Sectt. Pool the amount of demages for the period of overstay was not known to the Dte. of Estates. Therefore, the quarter was regularised on 14-9-1990 without insisting for payment of damages for the period of overstary. Subsequently, however, the Rajya Sabha Sectt. informed that the amount of damages for the period of overstay has been deposited with the Rajya Sabha Sectt.

(iii) Qr. No. K-196, Clive Square was regularised in the name of the dependent with the condition that the damage amounting to Rs. 7,136/- would be paid in instalments. Competent authority can order the dues to be recovered in instalments in deserving cases and in cases of real hardship.

(b) 28 type-I quarters were allotted on 27th February, 1991. Out of this, 26 were accepted and occupied by the persons to whom these were allotted. Two quarters were not accepted by the allottee to whom these were offered on personal grounds.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) The question does not arise in view of answer to part (c) above.